# More Greedy Algorithms

#### **Greedy Homework Scheduling**

- Input: n homework, each homework j has a size s<sub>j</sub>, and a deadline d<sub>j</sub>.
- Output: output a time schedule of doing homework!
- Greedy Approach
  - Keep finishing the homework with the **closest** deadline
- We have prove it is optimal!

## Let generalize the problem!



One Day

### Let generalize the problem!





One Day

# Which one is correct?





One Day



One Day

- Finish Time First is the only possible one!
- Is it correct?
- Intuition
  - Finish fast  $\rightarrow$  Best for future
  - How to make a proof?

#### Recall

#### Dijkstra

- Grow from small SPT to larger SPT
- Prime & Kruskal
  - Grow from small P-MST to larger P-MST
- We are correct if we **never ruin out** OPT!
- Or say: we are still in an Optimal Tunnel!

# The Big Idea

The local greedy choice do not ruin out OPT

#### Induction

- Base step: Ø is in an OPT.
- Assumptions: the selected k 1 activities are in an OPT.
- Induction: After adding the k-th activity, we are still in an OPT.
- Conclusion: After adding the last activity, it is in an OPT. Nothing can be added, so it is OPT.

#### Proof of the Induction

- Assumptions: the selected k 1 activities are in an OPT.
- Induction: After adding the k-th activity, we are still in an OPT.
- Can you prove it?
- Discussion!

#### Summarize



# One more interesting Greedy!

#### **General Question**

How to encode a book?

- Two steps:
  - Give alphabet encoding policy
  - Encode all sentences in the book

i am good at algorithms

### Alphabet: Naïve Approach

а	0000
d	0001
g	0010
h	0011
i	0100
1	0101
m	0110
0	0111
ŕ	1000
S	1001
t	1010
space	1011

i am good at algorithms

Cost Analysis

- Each character & space: 4 digit
- Totally:  $23 \times 4 = 92$

#### Improvement: Why not shorter?

а	0
d	1
g	10
h	11
i	100
	101
m	110
Ο	111
r	1000
S	1001
t	1010
space	1011

i am good at algorithms

Cost Analysis

- Each character & space < 4 digit</li>
- Totally:  $< 23 \times 4 = 92$

Problem:

When we decode
10: is it 'g' or 'da' ?

## Solving the problem!

а	0
d	1
g	10
h	11
i	100
	101
m	110
0	111
r	1000
S	1001
t	1010
space	1011

i am good at algorithms

Problem:

When we decode
10: is it 'g' or 'da' ?

#### A prefix-free code

- No one's code is the prefix of another one's code.
- Example: 'd': 1 is the prefix of 'g': 10.
- Think why it is good?

- How to decode?

# A prefix-free code is a tree!



## Cost of A prefix-free code is a tree!



## Minimize the cost?



### What is the greedy approach now?

Build a tree from bottom.Put small cost character to bottom.
























## A bottom-up building



## A bottom-up building



#### A bottom-up building



#### Is the cost minimized?



#### Proof of The Correctness

- The Big Idea
  - The local greedy choice do not ruin out OPT.
- Assume we are still in a partial-OPT,
- after Merging two smallest elements, are we still in?













#### Induction

g

20

14

а

6

m

8

#### Assume we are in $T^*$ .

#### We choose 14 and 15.

22

0

12

d

10

#### What if we are in trouble?

14 and 15 are not sibling in T\*

Check two lowest (largest lev) Siblings.

What if swap 14 or 15 in?

Cost 1. Inside cost in subtrees. 2. Sum of  $lev[v] \cdot w[v]$ .

#### Time Complexity

Sort the characters by their appearance.

- $O(n \log n)$
- Repeat n rounds
  - Find two minimized appearance elements.
  - Delete two minimized element.
  - Insert a super node into the list.

#### Time Complexity

Sort the characters by their appearance.

- $O(n \log n)$
- Repeat n rounds
  - Find two minimized appearance elements.
  - Delete two minimized element.
  - Insert a super node into the list.
- Use a Heap?
  - Each round:  $O(1) + O(\log n) + O(\log n)$ .
- Totally: O(n log n) even if the characters are sorted.

#### Can we Improve?

- Given a sorted list.
- Repeat n rounds
  - Find two minimized appearance elements.
  - Delete two minimized element.
  - Insert a super node into the list.
- Observation: Go back to the construction.

#### Can we Improve?

- Given a sorted list.
- Repeat n rounds
  - Find two minimized appearance elements.
  - Delete two minimized element.
  - Insert a super node into the list.
- Observation:
  - Inserted super nodes become larger and larger.

#### What if using only a sorted linked list?



- You can recall Merge Sort  $\rightarrow$  Totally o(n) in n rounds.
- You can also use two priority queue to implement.

#### Super Fun Story!!!

The story of the invention of Huffman codes is a great story that demonstrates that students can do better than professors. David Huffman (1925-1999) was a student in an electrical engineering course in 1951. His professor, Robert Fano, offered students a choice of taking a final exam or writing a term paper. Huffman did not want to take the final so he started working on the term paper. The topic of the paper was to find the most efficient (optimal) code. What Professor Fano did not tell his students was the fact that it was an open problem and that he was working on the problem himself. Huffman spent a lot of time on the problem and was ready to give up when the solution suddenly came to him. The code he discovered was optimal, that is, it had the lowest possible average message length. The method that Fano had developed for this problem did not always produce an optimal code. Therefore, Huffman did better than his professor. Later Huffman said that likely he would not have even attempted the problem if he had known that his professor was struggling with it.

#### From:

https://www.maa.org/press/periodicals/convergence/discovery-ofhuffman-codes

# Even more Greedy!

#### Makespan Minimization

Input: *m* identical machines, *n* jobs with size *p<sub>i</sub>*.
 Output: the minimized max completion time (Makespan) of all these jobs on *m* machines



#### **Greedy Attempt**

Schedule jobs to the earliest finished machine.In local view, it is optimal!



# Is it optimal globally?

#### No!

Problem: the insertion order matters!



#### Greedy Attempt 2

#### LPT Algorithm

- Longest Processing Time First.
- Insert jobs into the earliest finished machine.



#### Proof of the correctness

- Assume we are still in OPT.
- We put the longest left job onto the earliest finished machine.
- Discussion! Are we still in an OPT?







#### Proof of the correctness

- Discussion! Are we still in an OPT?
- Suppose not.
- We can swap red and green!



#### Proof of the correctness

- Discussion! Are we still in an OPT?
- Suppose not.
- But what if we have two green jobs?



# Thinking: is it really bad?



# Proof is a way for us find problems!

# How to find a correct greedy algorithm?

#### Makespan Minimization

- Makespan Minimization is a NP-hard problem.
- Find a poly time algorithm for it means P = NP.
- Is Simple Greedy or LPT very bad?
- At least, they are better than arbitrary scheduling.

- Schedule jobs to the earliest finished machine.
- Consider the last finished job.

WLOG, it is the last scheduled job.

Greedy is busy before *t*.







Schedule jobs to the earliest finished machine.
Consider the last finished job. ,

Greedy is busy before *t*.

Workload  $\geq tm$ 









- Greedy is at most 2 times of OPT!
- We call Greedy is an Approximation Algorithm
  - Approximation Ratio is 2.
  - 2-approximate Algorithm.
- It seems not tight, can we reduce the ratio?

#### Counter-Example



# Can we improve the approximation ratio by LPT?








# Are we done?

# Is it finished?

 $p_n$ 

#### • Not enough larger than $p_n$ jobs?



t





# A counter example for LPT

#### • 2 jobs with size $m+1 \sim 2m - 1$ , 1 job with m.



# A counter example for LPT

• 2 jobs with size  $m+1 \sim 2m - 1$ , 1 job with m.



### A counter example for LPT

• 2 jobs with size  $m+1 \sim 2m - 1$ , 1 job with m.



# Can we improve the ratio to 4/3?



# Tips!

- Fact: we have n jobs larger than OPT/3
- Fact: OPT can have at most 2 jobs on one machine.
- Thinking: How to prove LPT = OPT with the Facts.
- More Questions
  - How to make the 2-analysis of **Greedy** to  $2 \frac{1}{m}$ ?
  - How to make the  $\frac{4}{3}$  analysis of LPT to  $\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{m}$ ?
  - Tips: they use the same technique.

# Today's goal

- Learn what is Greedy!
- Recap the difference of Greedy and Divide and Conquer.
- Learn to find the problems in a Greedy attempt.
- Learn to analyze Greedy Algorithm when it is not optimal.