

Lecture 10. Two phase Simplex method; Duality of LP

Simplex method: start from origin. move and shift.

If origin is not feasible? Suppose $x=d$ is feasible.

let $y_i = d_i - x_i$. rewrite the LP so that $y=0$ is feasible.

y_i may be less than 0 $\rightarrow y_i = y_i^+ - y_i^- = d_i - x_i$. origin is a vertex

How to find a feasible solution?

Consider a LP: $\min c^T x$ s.t. $Ax = b, x \geq 0$.

add slack variables s_1, \dots, s_m s.t. $A_i x + s_i = b_i \forall i$.

a trivial solution $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0, s_i = b_i \forall i$.

consider the LP: $\min s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_m$ $A_i x + s_i = b_i \forall i$.

if optimal = 0. find a feasible sol. of the initial LP. ow. infeasible.

Two more questions: correctness and running time.

Correctness: simplex method finds a local optimum.

if halts. origin is the local minimum. every coefficient is ≥ 0 .

for initial LP. x_1, \dots, x_k are neighbours of x^* . $\forall y \in P$.

$y - x^*$ is a conic combination of $(x_1 - x^*), (x_2 - x^*), \dots, (x_k - x^*)$.

Running time: avoid singular bad instance by perturbation. (smooth analysis)

Consider a LP $\min 3x_1 + 2x_2$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \leq 5$, $x_1 \leq 3$, $x_2 \leq 4$.

Trivially $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ is the optimal solution since $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

How about $\min -3x_1 - 2x_2$? (equivalent to $\max 3x_1 + 2x_2$).

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 13 \quad \text{since} \quad 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 2(x_1 + x_2) + x_1 \leq 13.$$

Given $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. assign y_i to each constraint

$$\max c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n.$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{array}{l} a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1. \\ a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2. \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m. \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m. \end{array}$$

if $y_j \geq 0$, and $\sum y_i a_{ij} \geq c_j \quad \forall j$. $\sum y_i b_i$ is an upper bound.

$$\Rightarrow \max c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n \leq \min y_1 b_1 + y_2 b_2 + \dots + y_m b_m.$$

Construct the LP: $\min y^T b$. s.t. $y^T A \geq c^T$ $y \geq 0$.

is the dual of primal LP $\max c^T x$ s.t. $Ax \leq b$ $x \geq 0$.

Proposition. The dual of the dual is the primal.

Proof. Rewrite dual as $\max -b^T y$. s.t. $-A^T y \leq -c$, $y \geq 0$.

the dual of the dual is $\min -w^T c$. s.t. $-w^T A \geq -b^T$ $w \geq 0$. \square

Weak duality theorem $\xleftrightarrow[\text{primal feasible}]{\text{dual feasible}}$

If x is feasible for primal. y is feasible for dual. then $c^T x \leq y^T b$

Proof. $c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq y^T b$. ($x \geq 0, y \geq 0$). \square

Corollary. If primal has optimal z , dual has optimal w . $z \leq w$.

(here for convention. let $\max \phi = -\infty$ and $\min \phi = \infty$).

Strong duality theorem. $\xleftrightarrow[\text{primal feasible}]{\text{dual feasible}}$

If primal has finite optimal x^* . so is dual. and $c^T x^* = y^{*T} b$.

Primal \ dual	unbounded	infeasible	feasible
unbounded	X	✓	X
infeasible	✓	✓	X
feasible.	X	X	✓

weak duality: unbounded \Rightarrow infeasible. others are impossible.

strong duality: feasible \Rightarrow feasible. but both infeasible?

Primal: $\max 2x_1 - x_2$. s.t. $x_1 - x_2 \leq 1$. $-x_1 + x_2 \leq -2$. $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$.

dual: $\min y_1 - 2y_2$ s.t. $y_1 - y_2 \geq 2$. $-y_1 + y_2 \geq -1$. $y_1, y_2 \geq 0$

Proof of strong duality: review of Farkas' lemma.

let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. then exactly ^{one} of the followings is true.

① $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $Ax = b$. $x \geq 0$. ② $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $A^T y \geq 0$. $b^T y < 0$.

