

Lecture 18. KKT system and Newton's method.

Optimization problem : $\min f(x)$ subject to $g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x) = 0$

Lagrangian function $L(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda_1 g_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_m g_m(x)$.

Lagrange condition : for ECP. x^* is optimal iff $\exists \lambda^*. \nabla L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$

in general. if x^* is regular. optimal only if $\exists \lambda^*. \nabla L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$.

Remark. For ECP, any feasible solution is regular.

Question : Does $\nabla L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$ mean $(x^*, \lambda^*) = \operatorname{argmin} L(x, \lambda)$?

The answer is no. since L is not convex. Although for any fixed

λ , $L(x, \lambda)$ is a convex function of x .

In fact, L is unbounded below. consider $\begin{aligned} & \min (x_1 - x_2)^2 \\ & \text{s.t. } x_1 - x_2 - 5 = 0 \end{aligned}$

If $\nabla L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$. (x^*, λ^*) is a saddle point.

Proposition. If $\nabla L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$. $f(x^*) = L(x^*, \lambda^*) = \max_{\lambda} \min_x L(x, \lambda)$.

Fix λ^* (e.g. $\lambda^* = -10$). $\hat{L}(x_1, x_2) = L(x_1, x_2, \lambda^*)$ is convex.

$\nabla \hat{L}(x_1, x_2) = \nabla_x L(x_1, x_2, \lambda^*)$. So $\nabla \hat{L}(x^*) = 0$. $x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_x L(x, \lambda^*)$

Let Ω be the feasible set $\{x : g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \dots = g_m(x) = 0\}$.

$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$. $\min_x L(x, \lambda) \leq \min_{x \in \Omega} L(x, \lambda) = \min_{x \in \Omega} f(x) = f(x^*)$.

$\exists \lambda = \lambda^*. \min_x L(x, \lambda) = f(x^*)$. So $f(x^*) = \max_{\lambda} \min_x L(x, \lambda)$

Solving equality constrained optimization.

Warmup: quadratic problem

$$\begin{cases} \min_x \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + w^T x, & Q \geq 0 \\ \text{subject to } Ax - b = 0 \end{cases}$$

Lagrangian. $L(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + w^T x + \lambda^T (Ax - b)$

Lagrange condition. $\begin{cases} \nabla_x L(x, \lambda) = Qx + w - A^T \lambda = 0 \\ \nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda) = Ax - b = 0 \end{cases}$ Karush
Kuhn
Tucker

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -w \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{called KKT system}$$

KKT matrix. Solving KKT system: Gaussian elimination

$$\text{row 2} \leftarrow A(Q^{-1}) \text{row 1} - \text{row 2} : (0, A(Q^{-1}A^T)) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = b + A(Q^{-1}w)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = (A(Q^{-1}A^T)^{-1}(b + A(Q^{-1}w)) \quad \text{provided } A(Q^{-1}A^T) \text{ invertible}$$

$$Qx + A^T \lambda = -w \Rightarrow x = -Q^{-1}w - Q^{-1}A^T(A(Q^{-1}A^T)^{-1}(b + A(Q^{-1}w))$$

We hope $(A(Q^{-1}A^T)^{-1}$ exists. It is always true if $Q > 0$, $\text{rank}(A) = m$.

$$(\text{since } Q > 0, A(Q^{-1}A^T)v = 0 \Rightarrow v^T A(Q^{-1}A^T)v = 0 \Rightarrow A^T v = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0)$$

Theorem. The KKT matrix is invertible (nonsingular). if and only if

① $\ker(A) \cap \ker(Q) = \{0\}$. (Q, A have no nontrivial kernel). or nullspace

② if $Ax = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. then $x^T Q x > 0$ ($Q > 0$ on $\ker(A)$). or

③ $F^T Q F > 0$ for $\forall F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m)}$ s.t. $\text{im}(F) = \{Fv : v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}\} = \ker(A)$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow ". if $0 \neq x \in \ker(Q) \cap \ker(A)$. $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.

" $1 \Rightarrow 2$ ". $Ax=0, x \neq 0 \Rightarrow Qx \neq 0$ but $x^T Qx = 0 \Rightarrow Qx = 0$. (?)

" \Leftarrow ". Assume $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = 0$. need to show $v=w=0$.

$v^T Q v = v^T (-A^T w) = -(Av)^T w = 0$. contradicts if $Av=0, v \neq 0$

$Qv + A^T w = 0 \Rightarrow A^T w = 0$. contradicts $\text{rank}(A)=m$ if $w \neq 0$.

" $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$ ". $\text{im}(\bar{F}) = \ker(A)$. $0 \neq y \in \ker(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \neq 0, y = \bar{F}x$. \square

Proposition. Suppose $Q \succeq 0$. Then $Qx=0$ iff $x^T Q x = 0$.

Proof: Consider the eigendecomposition (orthonormal diagonalization) of

Q : $Q = V \Lambda V^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i u_i u_i^T$ $\xi_i \geq 0$ be Q 's eigenvalues. Then

$x^T Q x = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \|u_i^T x\|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow u_i^T x = 0 \Rightarrow Qx = \sum u_i (\xi_i u_i^T x) = 0$. \square

Remark. $\text{rank}(A)=m$ is guaranteed. otherwise $Ax-b$ is redundant

or infeasible. Quadratic problem also requires $Q \succeq 0$ but KKT system

may not be solvable if $Q \neq 0$. If so, $f(x)$ is unbounded below.

For general optimization problem (not quadratic). recall Newton's:

given x_k , $f(x) \approx \tilde{f}(x) = f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T (x - x_k) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_k)^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) (x - x_k)$.

$x_{k+1} = \underset{\text{no constraints}}{\arg\min} \tilde{f}(x)$. Now let $x_{k+1} = \underset{Ax-b=0}{\arg\min} \tilde{f}(x)$.

Let $d = x_{k+1} - x_k$. Then $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T d + \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) d$

constraints : $Ad = 0$, since $Ax_{k+1} = Ax_k = b$.

KKT system : $\begin{pmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x_k) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla f(x_k) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $x_{k+1} = x_k - d$.

Question : stopping criterion ? $\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \leq \delta$? $d^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) d \leq \delta$ ✓

Example. min $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$. s.t. $x_1 + x_2 = 1$. start: $(1, 0)^T$.

KKT system : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Example. min $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2$. s.t. $x_1 + 2x_2 = b$. start: $(b, 0)^T$.

KKT system : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ b/2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\ker(\nabla^2 f) = S \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, S \in \mathbb{R}$. $\ker(A) = T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. $F = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Example. min $f(x_1, x_2) = e^{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 = 1$. start : $(1, 0)^T$

KKT system : $\begin{pmatrix} 6e & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2e & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2e \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/4 \\ 1/4 \\ -e/2 \end{pmatrix}$

$\nabla f(x, x_2) = \left(2x_1 e^{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, 2x_2 e^{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right)^T$ $\nabla^2 f(x, x_2) = e^{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 4x_1^2 + 2, 4x_1 x_2 \\ 4x_1 x_2, 4x_2^2 + 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Correctness: 1. $\nabla f(x_k)^T d \leq 0$ 2. x_k optimal if $d^T \nabla^2 f(x_k)^T d = 0$

1. KKT system $\begin{cases} \nabla^2 f(x_k) d + A^T \lambda = -\nabla f(x_k) \\ Ad = 0 \end{cases}$ ① ②

$d^T \textcircled{1} \Rightarrow d^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) d + d^T A^T \lambda = -d^T \nabla f(x_k) \Rightarrow \nabla f(x_k) \leq 0$

2. If $d^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) d < 0$, then $\nabla^2 f(x_k) d = 0$ by the previous proposition.

$$So \quad \nabla^2 f(x_k) d + A^T \lambda = -\nabla f(x_k) \Rightarrow \nabla f(x_k) + A^T \lambda = 0, \text{ (Lagrange)}$$

Convergence: comparison to unconstrained cases.

$$\text{Example: } \min f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \text{ s.t. } x_1 + x_2 = 1. \quad \min x_1^2 + (1-x_1)^2$$

$$\text{In general, feasible set } \Omega = \{x : Ax = b\} = \{\tilde{x} + Fz : z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}\}$$

$$\min f(x) \text{ s.t. } Ax = b \iff \min g(z) = f(\tilde{x} + Fz)$$

$$\nabla g(z) = F^T \nabla f(\tilde{x} + Fz), \quad \nabla^2 g(z) = F^T \nabla^2 f(\tilde{x} + Fz) F.$$

$$\text{Apply Newton. } z_{k+1} = z_k - (\nabla^2 g(z))^{-1} \nabla g(z). \quad \text{claim: } x_k = \tilde{x} + Fz_k.$$

By induction. Let dx_k, dz_k be descending directions.

dz_k exists iff $\nabla^2 g(z_k)$ invertible iff KKT invertible iff dx_k exists.

$$\text{Note that } Adx_k = 0, \quad \text{im}(F) = \ker(A), \quad \text{so } \exists u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \quad dx_k = Fu.$$

$$\text{Moreover. } \nabla^2 f(x_k) dx_k + A^T \lambda = -\nabla f(x_k). \quad \text{so } \nabla^2 f(x_k) Fu + A^T \lambda = -\nabla f(x_k).$$

$$\text{Multiply } F^T \text{ on both sides: } F^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) Fu + F^T A^T \lambda = -F^T \nabla f(x_k).$$

$$\ker(A) = \text{im}(F) \Rightarrow \forall v, Av = 0 \Rightarrow AF = \vec{0}.$$

$$\text{So } F^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) Fu = -F^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) \Rightarrow u = -(\nabla^2 g(z_k))^{-1} \nabla g(z_k) = dz_k.$$

$$dx_k = Fu = Fdz_k \Rightarrow x_{k+1} = x_k + dx_k = \tilde{x} + Fz_k + Fdz_k = \tilde{x} + Fz_{k+1}.$$