

## Lecture 14. Polynomial method

Polynomial identity testing.

Given 2 univariate polynomials  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \\ g(x) = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^d (x - b_j) \end{array} \right.$$

Goal: determine whether  $f \equiv g$ .

Expand  $g$  and compare coefficients  $O(d \log d)$  multiplication (FFT).

More clever? select  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d$  and then check  $f(c_i) \approx g(c_i)$

Fundamental theorem of algebra. but needs  $O(d^2)$  multiplication

We now allow a small probability of errors... say 0.01.

Choose  $S$  of size  $\log d$  and select  $c \in S$  uniformly.

$\Pr[f(c) = g(c) \mid f \neq g] \leq d/|S| = 1/\log d$ .  $O(d)$  multiplication.

Question: How about multivariate polynomials?

Let  $Q = f - g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $\leq d$ . check  $Q \equiv 0$ ?

Theorem (DeMillo-Lipton-Schwartz-Zippel lemma)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field.  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  of  $|S| \geq d$ . Then every nonzero polynomial

$Q \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  has at most  $d|S|^{n-1}$  roots in  $S^n$

Probabilistic version of Schwartz-Zippel lemma.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field and  $Q \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a nonzero polynomial of degree  $d$ . Then for any nonempty  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ , and  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  uniformly and independently sampled from  $S$ ,  $\Pr[Q(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$ .

Proof. By induction. For  $n=1$ ,  $Q$  has at most  $d$  roots.

Now assume  $n > 1$ , rewrite  $Q$  as

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d x_n^i Q_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \quad \text{let } k = \max i \text{ s.t. } Q_i \neq 0$$

$$= x_n^k Q_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + \tilde{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for some } \tilde{Q}$$

By induction hypothesis,  $\Pr[Q_k(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) = 0] \leq \frac{\deg Q_k}{|S|} \leq \frac{d-k}{|S|}$

For any fixed  $(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$ ,  $Q(r_1, \dots, r_n) = r_n^k Q_k + \tilde{Q}$

is a polynomial of degree  $k$ . So  $\Pr[Q_k(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0 \mid Q_k \neq 0] \leq \frac{k}{|S|}$ .

Thus  $\Pr[Q(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0] = \Pr[Q = 0 \mid Q_k \neq 0] \Pr[Q_k \neq 0]$

$$+ \Pr[Q = 0 \mid Q_k = 0] \Pr[Q_k = 0]$$

$$\leq \Pr[Q = 0 \mid Q_k \neq 0] + \Pr[Q_k = 0] = \frac{d}{|S|}. \quad \square$$

Application: Alice and Bob maintain two copies of a large data set.

They would like to compare databases for consistency periodically.

But transmission between them is expensive. What should they do?

Assume Alice has  $a = a_0 a_1 \dots a_m$ . Bob has  $b = b_0 b_1 \dots b_m$ .

$a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . deterministic consistency check requires  $n$ -bit transmission.

randomized? think  $a$  and  $b$  as univariate polynomials over field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

choose prime  $p$  such that  $n^2 < p < 2n^2$ . consider polynomials

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m \pmod{p}$$

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m \pmod{p}$$

Alice picks  $r$  uniformly at random over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . sends  $r$  and  $A(r)$  to

Bob. Bob returns 1 if  $B(r) = A(r)$  and 0 otherwise.

$$\Pr[A(r) = B(r) \mid A \neq B] \leq \frac{n-1}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Question: Suppose we have  $n$  real numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ . find a polynomial

vanishing on them. The smallest possible degree is  $n$ .

Find a polynomial  $P(x, y)$  that vanishes on  $(j, 2^j)$  for  $j=1, \dots, 10^6$ .

We may choose  $P(x, y) = (x-1) \dots (x-10^6)$  of degree  $10^6$ . better?

In fact we can find a polynomial of degree  $< 2000$ .

Let  $P(x, y) = \sum_{s+t \leq 2000} a_{s,t} x^s y^t$ . There are  $\binom{2001}{2}$  coefficients

to satisfy  $10^6$  constraints.  $\binom{2001}{2} > 10^6$ .

Theorem. For every set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  of size  $|S| < \binom{n+d}{d}$  there is a nonzero polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $\leq d$  vanishing on  $S$ .

Proof: Consider the vector space  $\text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  of polynomials in  $n$  variables of total degree  $\leq d$ . We claim that  $\dim \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n) = \binom{n+d}{d}$ .

A basis of  $\text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  is provided by the monomials  $x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}$  with  $\sum s_i \leq d$ :  $1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^2, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_1^3, \dots, x_n^d$ .

Now we show that if  $|S| < \dim \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  then  $\exists p \in \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  such that  $p$  vanishes on  $S$ .

Consider the vector space  $\mathbb{F}^S$  of all mappings  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . It has dimension  $|S| < \binom{n+d}{d} = \dim \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$

Hence, the evaluation map  $p(x) \mapsto (p|_r)_{r \in S}$  from  $\text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  to  $\mathbb{F}^S$  is not injective since it is a linear map of vector spaces.

from high dimensional to low dimensional. That is, there exists

$p_1, p_2$  that are mapped to the same element in  $\mathbb{F}^S$ .

Thus  $p = p_1 - p_2$  vanishes on  $S$ . (parameter counting)  $\square$

Joints problem. Let  $L$  be a set of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A joint is an

intersection of 3 non-coplanar lines. How many joints can  $\mathcal{L}$  form?

Lower bounds: Consider a set of  $S$  planes in general position.

There are  $\binom{S}{2}$  lines from pairs of planes and  $\binom{S}{3}$  triples of joints.

Theorem (Larry Guth & Nets Katz) upper bound

Any  $\mathcal{L}$  lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  determines at most  $10 \mathcal{L}^{3/2}$  joints.

Theorem (Vanishing lemma). If  $p \in \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{F}^n)$  vanishes at  $d+1$  points on some line  $l$  then  $p$  vanishes on all of  $l$ .

Technical lemma. Given a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $J$  joints, then one of the lines contains  $\leq 3 J^{1/3}$  joints.

Proof. Let  $p$  be the minimum degree nonzero polynomial vanishing at every joint. By parameter counting,  $\deg p \leq 3 J^{1/3}$ .

If every line contains  $> 3 J^{1/3}$  joints, then  $p$  vanishes on all lines.

Now if  $x$  is a joint, 3 lines pass through  $x$  and  $p$  vanishes on these 3 lines. So  $\nabla p(x)^T v_1 = \nabla p(x)^T v_2 = \nabla p(x)^T v_3 = 0$ , where

$v_1, v_2, v_3$  are the directional vectors of the 3 lines. So  $\nabla p(x) = 0$

and thus  $\nabla p$  is a polynomial vanishing at all joints of degree  $\deg p - 1$ .

$\nabla P$  must be the zero polynomial by the definition of  $P$ . This implies  $P$  is a constant function. contradicts to  $P$  is nonzero.  $\square$

Proof of Guth-Katz joint theorem.

Let  $J(L)$  be the maximum number of joints with  $L$  lines. Then

$$J(L) \leq J(L-1) + 3J(L)^{1/3}$$

$$\text{So } J(L) \leq L \cdot 3J(L)^{1/3}, \text{ which yields } J(L) \leq 10L^{3/2}.$$

Remark. The constant can be improved to  $\frac{4}{3}$ . The conjectured optimal is  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$ .

Finite field Kakeya problem.

桔谷問題 by Sōichi Kakeya.

How small can a set in the plane be in which you can turn a needle of unit length completely around?

Theorem (Besicovitch). For every dimension there are Kakeya sets of measure 0.

The finite Kakeya problem (Thomas Wolff, 1999).

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. A set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  is a finite Kakeya set if

$K$  contains a line in every direction. namely. for every  $v \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

there exists  $w \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that the line  $L = \{w + tv : t \in \mathbb{F}\} \subseteq K$

Question : Is there a constant  $c = c(n)$  only depending on  $n$  such that every finite Kakeya set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  satisfies  $|K| \geq c |\mathbb{F}|^n$  ?

Theorem (Zeev Dvir, 2008).

Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  be a Kakeya set. Then  $|K| \geq \binom{|\mathbb{F}|+n-1}{n} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^n}{n!}$ .

Proof. Let  $q = |\mathbb{F}|$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $|K| < \binom{n+q-1}{n}$ .

Then there exists nonzero  $p(x) \in \text{Poly}_{q-1}(\mathbb{F}^n)$  that vanishes on  $K$ .

Let  $d = \deg p \leq q-1$  and  $p(x) = p_0(x) + p_1(x) + \dots + p_d(x)$

where  $p_i(x)$  is the sum of monomials of degree  $i$ , and  $p_d \neq 0$ .

Also,  $p$  vanishes on a nonempty set  $K$ . So  $d > 0$ .

Let  $v \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be an arbitrary direction. By the Kakeya property.

there exists  $w \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $p(w+tv) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Consider  $p(w+tv)$  as a polynomial of  $t$ , which has degree  $q-1$ .

So  $p(w+tv)$  is the zero polynomial in  $t$ . Note that the coefficient of  $t^d$  in  $p(w+tv)$  is precisely  $p_d(v)$ . So  $p_d(w)$  must be zero.

Since  $v$  is arbitrary,  $p_d$  vanishes on all points in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . It implies  $p_d$  is

nonzero but has  $q^n > dq^{n-1}$  roots, which contradicts Schwartz-Zippel.  $\square$

Remark: 1.  $\text{ccn}$ ) has been improved to  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ .

2. there exists a Kakeya set of size roughly  $\frac{1}{2^m} |\mathbb{F}|^n$ .

3. no known proofs without polynomials.

Szemerédi-Trotter revisit: cutting method.

Given  $P$  be a set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $L$  be a set of lines.

$$I(P, L) = \{(P, l) : P \in P, l \in L, P \in l\}.$$

Szemerédi-Trotter theorem:  $|I(P, L)| = O(|P|^{2/3} |L|^{2/3} + |P| + |L|)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Easy bound: } |I(P, L)|^2 &= \left( \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{P \in P} [P \in l] \right)^2 \leq |L| \sum_{l \in L} \left( \sum_{P \in P} [P \in l] \right)^2 \\ &= |L| \cdot \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \in P} [P_1 \in l] \cdot [P_2 \in l] \leq |L| (|I(P, L)| + |P|^2) \\ \Rightarrow |I(P, L)| &= O(|P| \cdot |L|^{1/2} + |L|). \end{aligned}$$

Remark: This bound do not use anything about the topology of the plane.

Cutting method: cut the plane into pieces, nicely dividing the plane and

then apply the easy bound to each piece and finally aggregate them.

Remark: Recall the proof using the crossing lemma.

Heuristics: (cell decomposition).

1.  $D$  auxilliary lines used to cut.

2. the plane is divided into  $\approx D^2$  components.

3. each  $l \in L$  enters  $\leq D+1$  cells. each cell contains  $\frac{|L|}{D}$  lines

4. each cell contains  $\frac{|P|}{D^2}$  points on average.

If the cut divides  $P$  and  $L$  evenly. then apply the easy bound for

$$\text{each cell : } |I_{\text{cell}}(P, L)| = O\left(\frac{|P|}{D^2} \left(\frac{|L|}{D}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{|L|}{D}\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow |I(P, L)| \leq D^2 |I_{\text{cell}}(P, L)| = O(|P| |L|^{1/2}/D^{1/2} + |L| \cdot D).$$

$$\text{choose } D \approx |P|^{2/3} |L|^{-1/3} \text{ to obtain } |I(P, L)| = O(|P|^{2/3} |L|^{2/3}).$$

Theorem (Ham-Sandwich) If  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are finite positive volume open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . then there is a hyperplane that bisects every  $U_i$ .

Theorem (Polynomial Ham-Sandwich theorem)

If  $U_1, \dots, U_N$  are finite volume open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . and  $N < \binom{n+d}{n}$ . then

there exists a polynomial  $p \in \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that bisects every  $U_i$ .

Theorem (Polynomial Partitioning Theorem)

Given a finite subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d > 0$ . then there exists a nonzero

polynomial  $p \in \text{Poly}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that each component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(p)$

contains  $\leq c(n) \frac{|S|}{d^n}$  points of  $S$ . where  $Z(p) = \text{zeros of } p$ .